Economics 201b Spring 2010 Problem Set 3 Due Thursday April 8

Unless otherwise specified, all equilibria are understood to mean without transfers.

- 1. Consider a Robinson Crusoe economy with a linear technology  $f(x_1) = \alpha x_1$  and  $\overline{\mu}$  are preferences:  $U(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + \beta x_2$  where  $0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$ . Let the endowment be  $\omega = (L, 0)$ . Give a complete case-by-case analytic characterization of all equilibria. For each case, draw a picture.
- 2. In an Arrow-Debreu economy with strongly monotone preferences, consider a 4-tuple (p, x, y, T) where T is an income transfer and  $x_i \in D_i(p, y, T)$  for all I consumers. Suppose all but one market clear without loss of generality, assume the first L-1 markets clear, then show explicitly that, in fact, all markets clear. When writing your solution use the standard notation (e.g.  $l, i, x_i, y_j, \theta_{ij}$ ) for Arrow-Debreu economies found in the notes for lecture 4. Do not assume it is a pure exchange economy.
- 3. Consider a two-consumer, one-firm Arrow-Debreu economy. The technology of the firm is  $Y = \{(y_1, y_2) | y_1 \leq 0, y_2 = e \log(1 y_1)\}$ . The endowments are  $\omega_1 = (e, 0)$  and  $\omega_2 = (e^2, 0)$ , and the utilities are  $U_1(x_{11}, x_{21}) = \frac{\log(x_{11})}{e} + x_{21} \frac{1}{e}$  and  $U_2(x_{12}, x_{22}) = \log(x_{12}) + x_{22} 2$ . Let  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  be the two agent's shares of the firm's profit.
  - (a) Give an analytic characterization of all equilibria. Show your work in detail; in particular find a *simple, clean* expression for equilibrium labor.
  - (b) Suppose that the agents bargain for their shares  $\theta_i$  of the firm's profits. What is the Nash bargaining solution for the shares? Explain. (Recall, the Nash Bargaining solution is the split of shares  $(\theta_1^*, \theta_2^*)$  that solves the following maximization problem

$$\underset{\theta_1,\theta_2}{\operatorname{argmax}} \quad (U_1^{\theta_1} - \underline{U_1})(U_2^{\theta_2} - \underline{U_2})$$

where  $U_i^{\theta_i}$  is agent *i*'s equilibrium utility when the shares are  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ , and  $\underline{U_i}$  is agent *i*'s utility when there is no access to the firm's technology.)

- 4. Consider a two-person, two-good exchange economy with the following nonconvex preferences:  $U_i(x_{1i}, x_{2i}) = \max\{x_{1i}, x_{2i}\}$  for i = 1, 2. Suppose the social endowment is  $\bar{\omega} = (1, \gamma)$  with  $\gamma > 0$ .
  - (a) Give a careful analytic characterization of all *exact* Pareto Optimal allocations. Answer will depend on  $\gamma$ . Draw pictures demonstrating the different possibilities.
  - (b) Are there any values of  $\gamma$  for which the Second Welfare Theorem fails? Prove your answer.